



Notice of a meeting of Planning Committee

**Thursday, 17 October 2019
6.00 pm
Council Chamber - Municipal Offices**

Membership	
Councillors:	Paul McCloskey, Garth Barnes (Chair), Paul Baker (Vice-Chair), Stephen Cooke, Diggory Seacome, Victoria Atherstone, Bernard Fisher, Dilys Barrell, Mike Collins, Alex Hegenbarth, Karl Hobley, Tony Oliver, Simon Wheeler, John Payne and Rowena Hay

The Council has a substitution process and any substitutions will be announced at the meeting

Agenda

- a) **19/01190/OUT Land off Shelley Road** (Pages 5 - 10)
- b) **19/01252/FUL Oakfield House Stables, Oakfield House** (Pages 11 - 14)

Contact Officer: Judith Baker, Planning Committee Co-ordinator,
Email: builtenvironment@cheltenham.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

APPLICATION NO: 19/01190/OUT	OFFICER: Miss Michelle Payne
DATE REGISTERED: 19th June 2019	DATE OF EXPIRY : 18th September 2019
WARD: St Marks	PARISH:
APPLICANT:	Gloucestershire County Council
LOCATION:	Land Off Shelley Road, Cheltenham
PROPOSAL:	Outline application for erection of 60 dwellings including access (with all other matters reserved) on site of former Monkscroft Primary School

ADDITIONAL REPRESENTATIONS

36 Shakespeare Road
Cheltenham
Gloucestershire
GL51 7HH

Comments: 8th October 2019

The roads adjoining this development are residential but are treated already as rat-runs. Adding further dwellings with the subsequent two-fold increase in cars in the area will only add to the congestion. There does not appear to be sufficient parking space in this new scheme to accommodate the extra cars, meaning more cars will clog up the roads already full with GCHQ workers' cars through the working week.

Residents Of Tennyson Road

Comments: 14th October 2019

Petition attached.

71 Tennyson Road
Cheltenham
Gloucestershire
GL51 7DD

Comments: 8th October 2019

As a resident of St Marks for nearly all of my 50 years I have seen this glorious estate eroded away by under development. Shelley Road is a curse of a road to navigate at the best of times, mainly due to the inconsiderate parking from residents and GCHQ workers. You walk around this estate of an evening and see how the area is clogged with cars, many parked across the pavements. 60 houses adding to this would only add to this chaos. Further development of houses whilst desperately needed, is not good always in an area that has lacked investment for many years. St Marks is awash with drugs and drug related crime, and the council seem reluctant to deal with anti-social elements, adding further houses before dealing with these issues is very short sighted. I cannot object enough against these ludicrous proposals, however public opinion in such matters experience tells me is merely lip service...

Proposed housing development - Shelley Road

Tennyson Road is a small residential road of period properties but it is already carrying main-road quantities of traffic, because people use it as a short-cut route.

The vibration of this is felt in the houses, which actually shakes the infrastructure. This is evidenced in the rattling of doors, letterboxes, and even beds shaking when fast and/or large vehicles cross the speed bumps without adequately slowing down.

If 60 new homes are to be built on Shelley Road, Cheltenham, the environment that it will impact must be considered. The above problems in Tennyson Rd will increase and become even more dangerous to residents and even more damaging to our homes.

We the undersigned, strongly request that the developers or council pay for a 20 MPH speed restriction of Tennyson Rd, or some other effective method to reduce volume, speed, danger, noise and damaging vibration from traffic.

11

42. Tennyson Road.
40 Tennyson Road
30 Tennyson Road

24 Tennyson Road

20 Tennyson RD,
20 Tennyson Rd.

22 " "

16 Tennyson Road.

12 Tennyson rd

12 Tennyson rd

19 TENNYSON RD

11

11

8 Tennyson Rd

6 TENNYSON RD.

6 TENNYSON ROAD.

17 TENNYSON ROAD

28 TENNYSON ROAD.

28 TENNYSON ROAD.

34 Tennyson Rd

[REDACTED] 43 TENNYSON R.

[REDACTED] 10 CANBERRA HOUSE

[REDACTED] 43 Tennyson RD

[REDACTED] 38 TENNYSON RD

[REDACTED] 32 TENNYSON RD.

[REDACTED] 26 Tennyson Road

[REDACTED] 39, Tennyson Rd.

[REDACTED] 33 TENNYSON RD

[REDACTED] 27 TENNYSON RD

[REDACTED] 25 TENNYSON RD

[REDACTED] 14 Tennyson Road

[REDACTED] 14 Tennyson Road

[REDACTED] 21 TENNYSON ROAD

[REDACTED] 21 Tennyson Road

[REDACTED] 10 TENNYSON RD

[REDACTED] 47 TENNYSON ROAD

[REDACTED] 49 TENNYSON RD Cheltenham

[REDACTED] 51 Tennyson Rd Cheltenham

[REDACTED] 51 TENNYSON RD Cheltenham

48 names

APPLICATION NO: 19/01252/FUL		OFFICER: Mr Ben Hawkes
DATE REGISTERED: 26th June 2019		DATE OF EXPIRY : 21st August 2019
WARD: Battledown		PARISH: CHARLK
APPLICANT:	Newbay Consulting Ltd	
LOCATION:	Oakfield House Stables, Oakfield House, Greenway Lane	
PROPOSAL:	Erection of a single self-build dwelling following the demolition of existing stables.	

ADDITIONAL REPRESENTATIONS

Hallam Oaks
Greenway Lane
Charlton Kings
Cheltenham
Gloucestershire
GL52 6PN

Comments: 14th October 2019
Letter attached.

B. Hawkes, Esq.,
Planning Officer,
Cheltenham Borough Council,
P. O. Box 12,
Municipal Office,
Promenade, Cheltenham.
GL50 1PP.



Hallam Oaks.
Greenway Lane,
Charlton Kings,
Cheltenham.
GL52 6PN.

12th October 2019
Delivered by hand on 13.10.19

Dear Mr. Hawkes,

Re: Planning Application, Reference No. 19/01252/FUL
Oakfield House Stables, Greenway Lane, Charlton Kings.

Further to my letter dated 31st July 2019 in respect of the above application I would like to point out that those views still hold.

Though many applications have been proposed since the 1960s no new building has taken place since the land was designated an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty in 1966 — with the only exception of the referred to Cromwell Court previously, that was built in the early 1980s to replace a small wooden bungalow. Ironically, this same site was granted permission to build a further eight "Isolated Industrial Units" in very recent months.

Thankfully, this is over to the Eastern side of this area that is already suffering from too much in-building but, mercifully, leaves the rest of this beautiful Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty untouched.

Since my letter, I note that an Ecological Assessment report has been received and perhaps this is why the second shed appears to have deteriorated further, as research was carried out. Also, in recent months large, very poorly constructed bonfires (left unattended) have taken place by the shed. The last one had sparks flying dangerously in the wind.

for over 2 days and nights and the appalling smoke and stench penetrated Hallam Oaks inside. I was left pondering what harm this can cause to the wildlife in the area? (Nothing wrong with a good, controlled bonfire in the right place.)

However, I trust that all the early years of wisdom and prudence that have prevailed, from the Cheltenham Borough Planning Office and committee, for the preservation of this Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty will continue to be honoured in respect of aesthetic architecture and especially, the conservation of wildlife. In my view, this planning application meets neither of these criteria.

Yours sincerely,

